SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO.3787 OF 2006

PETITIONER:- Badri Prasad Kashyap Aged about 52 years, S/o- Chandrika Prasad Kashyap, Time Keeper, Daily wages Worker, Water Resources Department Sub Division Baloudabazar, R/o Village –Bharuwadih, Tehsil – Baloudabazar Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 13337/06
Presented by Shri Ashok Sharma
dated 21/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1) State of C.G. Through the Secretary, Irrigation Department D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralay Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 21/7/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2) Chief Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department Raipur (C.G.)

3) Executive Officer, Irrigation Construction Department, Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 2073/2006 20/07/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4) Sub Divisional Officer, Balaudbazar Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-78

(4)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP...3787/06. **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3.8.2006 Heard Mr. Ashok Soni, Advocate for the petitioner. Miss Dipali Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy. By this petition the petitioner, who is working as daily wage employee on the post of Timekeeper in Water Resources Department, Sub Division Baloda Bazar, has challenged the transfer order dated 30.6.2006 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been transferred from Baloda Bazar to Irrigation Department, Sub Division Devbhog. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a low paid employee and he ought not to have been transferred at a distance of 300 kms. The petitioner being a daily wage employee, he has been appointed for a particular office, therefore, he cannot be transferred to another office. He further submits that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all the grievances along with copy of this petition to respondent No.2 within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2947/06 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	